



CONSTITUTIONAL LAW

FIFTEENTH EDITION

by

KATHLEEN M. SULLIVAN

Stanley Morrison Professor of Law and
Former Dean of the School of Law,
Stanford University

GERALD GUNTHER

Late William Nelson Cromwell Professor of Law Emeritus,
Stanford University

Biblioteca de la Corte Suprema	
Nº de Orden	122.463
Ubicación	FS291

FOUNDATION PRESS

2004

THOMSON
—★—™
WEST

TABLE OF CONTENTS ✓

PREFACE TO THE FIFTEENTH EDITION	v
TABLE OF CASES	xxiii
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	xlvii

PART I The Judicial Function in Constitutional Cases ✓ 1

CHAPTER 1 The Nature and Sources of the Supreme Court's Authority 2

Section

1. Judicial Review: The Bases and Implications of <i>Marbury v. Madison</i>	3
<i>Marbury v. Madison</i>	3
The Historical Setting of the <i>Marbury</i> Case	11
The Legitimacy of Judicial Review	15
The Authoritativeness of Supreme Court Decisions	21
2. Constitutional and Prudential Limits on Constitutional Adjudication	31
A. The Nonjusticiability of Political Questions	32
<i>Baker v. Carr</i>	33
The Political Question Doctrine Since <i>Baker v. Carr</i>	39
<i>Nixon v. United States</i>	41
B. Case or Controversy Requirements: Advisory Opinions, Standing, Mootness and Ripeness	48
The Rule Against Advisory Opinions	49
Standing to Litigate	51
<i>Warth v. Seldin</i>	51
<i>Lujan v. Defenders of Wildlife</i>	56
Mootness and Non-Ripeness	69
3. Supreme Court Authority to Review State Court Judgments	71
4. Political Restraints on the Supreme Court: May Congress Strip the Court of its Jurisdiction?	75
<i>Ex Parte McCordle</i>	77

PART II The Structure of Government: Nation and States in the Federal System 87

CHAPTER 2 National Powers and Local Activities: Origins and Recurrent Themes 89

<i>McCulloch v. Maryland</i>	90
The Scope of National Power: Historical Context	100
The Scope of National Power: Text, Structure, and Judicial Role	107
Federal Limits on the Scope of State Power	110
<i>U.S. Term Limits, Inc. v. Thornton</i>	111
The Term Limits Case and Structural Analysis	118

CHAPTER 3 The Commerce Power	123
Section	
1. The Interpretation of the Commerce Power From 1824 to 1936.....	124
2. The Decline of Limits on the Commerce Power From 1937 to 1995	141
<i>NLRB v. Jones & Laughlin Steel Corp.</i>	142
<i>United States v. Darby</i>	144
Judicial Deference Toward Exercise of the Commerce Power	146
3. New Limits on the Commerce Power Since 1995	153
<i>United States v. Lopez</i>	153
Commerce Clause Review After Lopez	166
<i>United States v. Morrison</i>	173
4. External Limits on the Commerce Power: State Autonomy, Federalism and the Tenth and Eleventh Amendments	178
A. State Autonomy Limits on Congressional Power	179
<i>New York v. United States</i>	187
<i>Printz v. United States</i>	193
B. State Sovereign Immunity Limits on Congressional Power	204
CHAPTER 4 Federalism-Based Restraints on Other National Powers in the 1787 Constitution	216
Section	
1. The Taxing Power as a Regulatory Tool	216
<i>Child Labor Tax Case [Bailey v. Drexel Furniture Co.]</i>	217
2. The Spending Power as a Regulatory Device	221
<i>United States v. Butler</i>	222
<i>South Dakota v. Dole</i>	230
3. War, Foreign Affairs, and Federalism	235
A. The War Power	236
<i>Woods v. Cloyd W. Miller Co.</i>	236
B. Treaties, Foreign Affairs, and Federalism.....	237
<i>Missouri v. Holland</i>	238
CHAPTER 5 Federal Limits on State Power to Regulate the National Economy	244
Section	
1. State Regulation and the Dormant Commerce Clause	245
A. Early Developments	247
The Marshall Court	247
<i>Gibbons v. Ogden</i>	247
<i>Willson v. Black-Bird Creek Marsh Co.</i>	249
From Marshall to the Early Taney Court	250
<i>Cooley v. Board of Wardens</i>	251
The Aftermath of Cooley.....	253
B. The Modern Court's Approach.....	256
1. Laws That Facially Discriminate Against Out-of-State Commerce.....	257
Facial Discrimination by States.....	257
<i>Philadelphia v. New Jersey</i>	257
Facial Discrimination by Localities	269

Section	
<i>Dean Milk Co. v. Madison</i>	270
2. Laws Protectionist in Purpose or Effect.....	274
State Barriers to Out-of-State Sellers	275
State Barriers to Out-of-State Buyers	280
<i>H.P. Hood & Sons v. Du Mond</i>	280
3. Facially Neutral Laws and Pike Balancing	286
State Burdens on Transportation	287
<i>Southern Pacific Co. v. Arizona</i>	289
<i>Kassel v. Consolidated Freightways Corp.</i>	295
State Burdens on Trade	303
State Burdens on Business Entry	305
C. The "Market Participant" Exception to the Dormant Commerce Clause	311
<i>South-Central Timber Development, Inc. v. Wunnicke</i>	311
2. The Privileges and Immunities Clause of Article IV	316
<i>United Building & Construction Trades Council v. Mayor and Council of Camden</i>	317
3. Congressional Ordering of Federal-State Relationships by Preemption and Consent	324
A. Preemption of State Authority.....	324
<i>Pacific Gas & Elec. Co. v. State Energy Resources Conservation & Development Comm'n</i>	324
B. Consent to State Laws.....	333
4. Some Other Aspects of Federalism: A Brief Survey	338
A. State Taxation and Free Trade	338
B. Intergovernmental Tax Immunities.....	339
C. Intergovernmental Regulatory Immunities.....	340
D. Interstate Relationships.....	341
CHAPTER 6 Separation of Powers	343
Section	
1. Executive Encroachment on Legislative Powers	344
<i>Youngstown Sheet & Tube Co. v. Sawyer [The Steel Seizure Case]</i>	344
Executive Authority to Make National Domestic Policy	352
Executive Authority Over Foreign and Military Affairs	354
<i>Dames & Moore v. Regan</i>	355
2. Executive Discretion in Times of War or Terror	360
A. The President, the Congress, and the War Power	360
B. The President, the Courts, and Executive Detention of Enemy Combatants	362
<i>Ex Parte Quirin</i>	367
<i>Hamdi v. Rumsfeld</i>	373
3. Congressional Encroachment on the Executive Power	385
A. Legislative and Executive Actions	385
<i>INS v. Chadha</i>	387
Bicameralism and Presentment	394
<i>Clinton v. New York</i>	396
B. Congressional Control Over Executive Officers	402
<i>Bowsher v. Synar</i>	404
<i>Morrison v. Olson</i>	410

Section	
4. Executive Privilege and Immunities	420
<i>United States v. Nixon</i>	420
<i>Clinton v. Jones</i>	427
PART III Individual Rights	443
CHAPTER 7 The Bill of Rights and the Post-Civil War Amendments: "Fundamental" Rights and the "Incorporation" Dispute	
445	
Section	
1. The Pre-Civil War Situation	446
<i>Barron v. Mayor and City Council of Baltimore</i>	446
2. The Purpose and Impact of the Post-Civil War Amendments	448
<i>Slaughter-House Cases</i>	449
The Aftermath of the Slaughter-House Cases	457
<i>Saenz v. Roe</i>	462
3. Due Process and the "Incorporation" Controversy	467
<i>Duncan v. Louisiana</i>	475
Incorporation Since Duncan	480
CHAPTER 8 Substantive Due Process: Rise, Decline, Revival	
485	
Section	
1. Substantive Due Process and Economic Regulation: The Rise and Decline of Judicial Intervention	486
A. Antecedents	486
B. The Lochner Era: Judicial Intervention and Economic Regulation	492
<i>Lochner v. New York</i>	492
What Was Wrong With Lochner?	497
Judicial Scrutiny of Economic Regulations During the Lochner Era—Some Examples	500
C. The Modern Era: The Decline of Judicial Scrutiny of Economic Regulation	503
<i>Nebbia v. New York</i>	503
After the New Deal: Minimal Judicial Scrutiny or Judicial Abdication?	506
<i>Williamson v. Lee Optical Co.</i>	509
2. Constitutional Safeguards of Economic Rights: The Takings Clause; the Contracts Clause	513
A. The Takings Clause	514
The "Public Use" Requirement	514
Regulatory "Takings"	516
<i>Pennsylvania Coal Co. v. Mahon</i>	516
B. The Contracts Clause	534
<i>Home Building & Loan Ass'n v. Blaisdell</i>	536
3. The Revival of Substantive Due Process, for Noneconomic Liberties: Reproduction; Family; Sex; Death	544
A. Antecedents	545
B. Contraception	546
<i>Griswold v. Connecticut</i>	546
Penumbras, Liberty and Privacy	555

Section	
C. Abortion	558
<i>Roe v. Wade</i>	558
Roe v. Wade and Constitutional Values	564
Abortion Regulation From Roe to Casey	568
<i>Planned Parenthood of Southeastern Pa. v. Casey</i>	574
Implications of Casey	587
D. Family Relationships	591
E. Sexuality	600
<i>Lawrence v. Texas</i>	602
Implications of Lawrence	610
F. Death	614
<i>Washington v. Glucksberg</i>	618
4. The Scope of "Liberty" and "Property": Procedural Due Process and the Right to a Hearing	629
A. The Procedural Due Process Revolution of the Early 1970s	630
B. "Property," "Liberty," and Hearing Rights of Public Employees in Light of Roth	633
C. The Shrinking Scope of "Liberty" in the Procedural Due Process Cases	635
CHAPTER 9 Equal Protection	
640	
Section	
1. An Overview	640
2. Scrutiny of Means in Economic Regulations: The Rationality Requirement	644
"Underinclusive" and "Overinclusive" Classifications	644
<i>Railway Express Agency v. New York</i>	647
Deferential Rationality Review	650
<i>U.S. Railroad Retirement Bd. v. Fritz</i>	655
Should Rationality Review Be Stricter?	664
3. Suspect Classifications: Race Discrimination	667
A. Strict Scrutiny of Racial Classifications Disadvantaging Minorities	667
B. The Unconstitutionality of Racial Segregation	671
<i>Brown v. Board of Education [Brown I]</i>	673
Desegregation and the Implementation of Brown	677
<i>Brown v. Board of Education [Brown II]</i>	679
<i>Loving v. Virginia</i>	681
C. Racially Discriminatory Purpose and Effect	685
<i>Washington v. Davis</i>	688
The Purpose-Effect Distinction in the School Desegregation Context	697
The Purpose-Effect Distinction and Restructuring the Political Process	704
D. Affirmative Action and Race Preferences	707
Race Preferences in Education	708
<i>Regents of Univ. of California v. Bakke</i>	708
<i>Grutter v. Bollinger</i>	718
<i>Gratz v. Bollinger</i>	727
Race Preferences in Public Employment and Contracting	736

Section	
<i>Richmond v. J.A. Croson Co.</i>	739
<i>Adarand Constructors, Inc. v. Peña</i>	750
Race Preferences in Electoral Districting	758
<i>Shaw v. Reno [Shaw I]</i>	759
The Aftermath of Shaw I	763
4. Other Classifications: Sex, Illegitimacy, Alienage, Disability, Sexual Orientation	769
A. Sex Discrimination	770
<i>Craig v. Boren</i>	775
<i>United States v. Virginia</i>	781
“Real” Differences?	793
Discriminatory Purpose and Effect and Sex Discrimination	803
Preferential Treatment of Women: Permissible Compensation or Archaic Stereotype?	806
B. Other Classifications Arguably Warranting Heightened Scrutiny	810
1. Alienage	810
2. Nonmarital Children	815
3. Disability, Age, Poverty	817
<i>Cleburne v. Cleburne Living Center, Inc.</i>	818
4. Sexual Orientation	825
<i>Romer v. Evans</i>	825
5. The “Fundamental Interests” Strand of Equal Protection Strict Scrutiny	837
A. Denial and “Dilution” of Voting Rights	838
Vote Denial	839
<i>Harper v. Virginia State Board of Elections</i>	839
<i>Kramer v. Union Free School District No. 15</i>	841
Additional Restrictions on the Franchise	842
Vote “Dilution”: Reapportionment and Gerrymandering	846
<i>Reynolds v. Sims</i>	847
Elaborations of “One Person—one Vote” After Reynolds	851
<i>Davis v. Bandemer</i>	855
B. Access to Courts	860
Economic Differentiations and the Criminal Process	863
Economic Barriers and Civil Litigation	864
<i>M.L.B. v. S.L.J.</i>	866
C. Refusal to Extend Fundamental Interest Analysis to Food, Shelter, Education	870
<i>San Antonio Independent School Dist. v. Rodriguez</i>	873
CHAPTER 10 The Post-Civil War Amendments and Civil Rights Legislation: Constitutional Restraints on Private Conduct; Congressional Power to Implement the Amendments	885

Section	
1. The Statutory Framework	886
The Civil Rights Laws of the Reconstruction Era	886
Civil Rights Laws That Survive From Reconstruction	887
2. The Problem of State Action	888
<i>Civil Rights Cases</i>	888

Section	
Interpretation of State Action in the 20th Century	892
A. “Public Function”	894
B. Significant State “Involvement”	901
<i>Shelley v. Kraemer</i>	901
<i>Shelley v. Kraemer: Applications and Limits</i>	903
<i>Burton v. Wilmington Parking Authority</i>	907
State Involvement in Private Action—“To Some Significant Extent”	910
State Action Through State “Encouragement” and “Authorization”	913
<i>Jackson v. Metropolitan Edison Co.</i>	916
State Action Since the 1980s	920
3. Congressional Power to Reach Private Interferences With Constitutional Rights	926
A. Private Interferences With Federal Rights: Criminal Sanctions	928
<i>United States v. Guest</i>	928
Some Problems of Applying Criminal Sanctions to State-Involved Defendants Interfering With 14th Amendment Rights	931
Congressional Power to Reach Private Behavior, the Guest Case, and the 1968 Law	934
B. Private Interferences With Federal Rights: Civil Sanctions	937
C. Congressional Power to Reach Private Conduct Under the 13th Amendment	941
<i>Jones v. Alfred H. Mayer Co.</i>	942
13th Amendment Powers and the 1866 Act	944
4. Congressional Power to Enforce Civil Rights Under § 5 of the 14th Amendment	946
A. Congressional Protection of Voting Rights	947
The Scope of Congressional Power to Enforce Voting Rights: “Remedial” or “Substantive”?	952
<i>Katzenbach v. Morgan</i>	952
B. Confinement of Congress’s Civil Rights Enforcement Power to “Proportional” and “Congruent” Remedies	962
<i>City of Boerne v. Flores</i>	962
The Meaning and Scope of Boerne	968
<i>United States v. Morrison</i>	970
CHAPTER 11 Freedom of Speech—Why Government Restricts Speech—Unprotected and Less Protected Expression	984

Section	
1. Free Speech: An Overview	984
A. First Amendment History	985
B. First Amendment Theory	987
C. First Amendment Jurisprudence	991
2. Incitement	996
A. The World War I Cases: “Clear and Present Danger”	996
<i>Schenck v. United States</i>	998
<i>Abrams v. United States</i>	1002
Subversive Advocacy From Schenck to Abrams	1006
<i>Masses Publishing Co. v. Patten</i>	1008
Comparing the Holmes and Hand Approaches	1011

Section	
B. The "Red Scare" Cases	1012
<i>Gitlow v. New York</i>	1012
<i>Whitney v. California</i>	1016
The <i>Gitlow-Whitney</i> Problem	1021
C. The Smith Act Prosecutions	1022
<i>Dennis v. United States</i>	1023
"Clear and Present Danger" After <i>Dennis</i>	1028
D. The Modern Incitement Test	1033
<i>Brandenburg v. Ohio</i>	1033
The Impact of <i>Brandenburg</i>	1036
3. Fighting Words and Hostile Audiences	1038
A. Fighting Words	1039
<i>Cohen v. California</i>	1043
B. Hostile Audiences	1048
<i>Feiner v. New York</i>	1049
Distinguishing <i>Feiner</i> in Later Cases	1050
4. Injury to Reputation and Sensibility	1054
A. <i>Beauharnais</i> and Group Libel	1054
B. Libel	1056
<i>New York Times Co. v. Sullivan</i>	1056
The Meaning of <i>New York Times</i>	1059
Extension of <i>New York Times</i> to Other Settings	1062
C. Non-Defamation Torts	1067
D. Hate Speech	1074
<i>R.A.V. v. City of St. Paul</i>	1079
The Implications of <i>R.A.V.</i>	1088
<i>Virginia v. Black</i>	1090
5. Sexually Explicit Expression	1094
A. Obscenity	1096
<i>Roth v. U.S., Roth v. United States</i>	1096
Obscenity Between <i>Roth</i> and <i>Miller</i>	1098
<i>Miller v. California</i>	1102
<i>Paris Adult Theatre I v. Slaton</i>	1104
Obscenity Law After <i>Miller</i> and <i>Paris</i>	1109
B. Child Pornography	1114
C. Pornography as Subordination of Women	1120
<i>American Booksellers Ass'n v. Hudnut</i>	1122
D. Sexually Explicit but Non-Obscene Expression	1126
Nudity Bans	1127
"Erogenous Zoning"	1130
Indecency Bans in the Communications Media	1138
<i>FCC v. Pacifica Foundation</i>	1138
The Limits of <i>Pacifica</i>	1143
<i>Reno v. American Civil Liberties Union</i>	1150
6. Commercial Speech	1158
<i>Virginia Pharmacy Board v. Virginia Citizens Consumer Council</i> ..	1160
Commercial Speech and First Amendment Theory	1165
Commercial Speech After <i>Virginia Pharmacy</i>	1169
What Standard of Scrutiny for Commercial Speech?	1173
<i>Central Hudson Gas v. Public Service Comm'n</i>	1173
Commercial Speech Regulation After <i>Central Hudson</i>	1176
<i>44 Liquormart, Inc. v. Rhode Island</i>	1182
Commercial Speech Regulation After <i>Liquormart</i>	1186

CHAPTER 12 Freedom of Speech—How Government Restricts Speech—Modes of Abridgment and Standards of Review

1192

Section

1. The Distinction Between Content-Based and Content-Neutral Regulations	1192
A. Content-Based Restrictions	1193
B. Content-Neutral Laws and Symbolic Conduct	1203
<i>United States v. O'Brien</i>	1203
The Significance of <i>O'Brien</i>	1207
Flag Desecration	1210
<i>Texas v. Johnson</i>	1212
The Aftermath of <i>Texas v. Johnson</i>	1219
Nude Dancing	1221
2. Government's Power to Limit Speech in its Capacity as Proprietor, Educator, Employer and Patron	1226
A. Speech in Public Forums and Other Government Property	1226
Early Public Forum Cases	1227
The Modern "Time, Place and Manner" Test	1238
Public Order and Safety	1239
Aesthetics	1242
<i>Members of City Council v. Taxpayers for Vincent</i>	1243
<i>Clark v. Community for Creative Non-Violence</i>	1248
Tranquility, Privacy and Repose	1254
Is a Time, Place or Manner Regulation Ever Invalid?	1262
Speaker Access to Public Places Other Than Streets and Parks ..	1264
Libraries, Jails and Schools	1264
Buses, Theaters, and Military Bases	1268
The Modern Trichotomy of Traditional, Designated and Non-public Forums	1272
Religious Speech on Public Property	1289
First Amendment Access Rights to Private Property?	1293
B. Speech in Public Schools	1294
C. Speech and Association by Public Employees and Contractors ..	1303
Public Employee Speech	1304
<i>Connick v. Myers</i>	1305
Public Employee Party Affiliation	1312
Patronage and Independent Contractors	1316
D. Speech Subsidized by Public Funds	1319
3. Impermissible Forms of Speech-Restrictive Law: Overbreadth, Vagueness and Prior Restraint	1333
A. Overbreadth	1334
B. Vagueness	1347
C. Prior Restraint	1350
Licensing	1350
Injunctions	1358
<i>Near v. Minnesota</i>	1358
Prior Restraint and National Security	1361
<i>New York Times Co. v. United States [The Pentagon Papers Case]</i>	1361
Prior Restraint and Fair Trial	1370

CHAPTER 13 Rights Ancillary to Freedom of Speech..... 1373**Section**

1. Compelled Speech: The Right <i>Not</i> to Speak	1373
A. Compelled Individual Speech	1373
B. Compelled Access for the Speech of Others	1378
2. Freedom of Association	1386
A. Compelled Disclosure of Membership	1387
<i>NAACP v. Alabama</i>	1388
<i>Shelton v. Tucker</i>	1389
<i>Gibson v. Florida Legislative Investigation Comm.</i>	1391
Compelled Disclosure of Political Campaign Contributions	1393
B. Restrictions on Organizational Activity	1395
Litigation	1396
<i>NAACP v. Button</i>	1396
Boycotts	1399
C. Denial of Government Benefits Because of Association	1402
<i>Elfbrandt v. Russell</i>	1405
<i>Keyishian v. Board of Regents</i>	1406
Loyalty Requirements and the Modern Court	1407
D. The Right <i>Not</i> to Associate	1409
E. Freedom of Association and Political Party Procedures	1419
3. Money and Political Campaigns	1424
<i>Buckley v. Valeo</i>	1424
The Problems and Progeny of Buckley	1433
<i>McConnell v. Federal Election Commission</i>	1448
4. Freedom of the Press	1461
A. Press Access to Newsworthy Governmental Information	1463
Press Access to Jails	1463
Press Access to Judicial Proceedings	1466
B. Governmental Demands for Information From the Press	1474
<i>Branzburg v. Hayes</i>	1474
Journalistic Privilege After Branzburg	1479
C. Laws Discriminating Against the Press	1481
<i>Minneapolis Star & Tribune Co. v. Minnesota Comm'r of</i> <i>Revenue</i>	1481
The Implications and Limits of Minneapolis Star	1485
D. Differential Regulation of the Broadcast Media	1490
Scarcity, Access and the Broadcasting Media	1491
New Media: Cable Television and the Internet	1496

CHAPTER 14 The Religion Clauses: Free Exercise and Establishment..... 1503**Section**

1. An Overview of the Religion Clauses	1504
2. The Free Exercise of Religion	1513
A. Laws Discriminating Against Religion	1513
<i>Church of the Lukumi Babalu Aye v. City of Hialeah</i>	1514
<i>Locke v. Davey</i>	1519

Section

B. Neutral Laws Adversely Affecting Religion: Are Religious Ex- emptions Constitutionally Compelled?	1521
<i>Sherbert v. Verner</i>	1523
Free Exercise Exemptions From Sherbert to Smith	1526
<i>Employment Division, Dept. of Human Resources v. Smith</i>	1533
Smith and Religious Exemptions	1541
3. The Establishment Clause	1546
A. Enshrining Official Beliefs	1547
"Released Time" Programs in Public Schools	1547
<i>Zorach v. Clauson</i>	1547
The School Prayer Cases	1550
<i>Lee v. Weisman</i>	1553
Religion in the Public School Curriculum	1561
<i>Edwards v. Aguillard</i>	1564
Religious Symbolism Outside the School Context	1568
<i>Lynch v. Donnelly</i>	1570
B. Financial Aid to Religious Institutions	1580
Everson: "No Tax Large or Small"	1580
<i>Everson v. Board of Education</i>	1581
Aid to Parochial Education Since Everson	1583
<i>Mueller v. Allen</i>	1584
Aid to Higher Education: Is a Different Standard Appropriate?	1589
Religious Inclusion in Public Subsidy Schemes	1590
<i>Zelman v. Simmons-Harris</i>	1599
C. Legislative Accommodations of Religion	1606
App.	
A. The Constitution of the United States of America	A-1 ✓
B. Table of Justices	B-1 ✓
INDEX	I-1 ✓