

Enforced Disappearance
Determining State Responsibility under the International
Convention for the Protection of All Persons from
Enforced Disappearance

Marthe Lot Vermeulen



Cambridge – Antwerp – Portland

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Preface	v
List of Abbreviations	xix

INTRODUCTION

Chapter 1 Introduction

1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	The phenomenon of enforced disappearance	2
1.2.1	The <i>Nacht und Nebel</i> decree issued by Adolf Hitler	4
1.2.2	Dictatorships in South and Central America	5
1.2.2.1	Introduction	5
1.2.2.2	Operation Condor: the international dimension of enforced disappearance in South America	6
1.2.2.3	Examples of dictatorships in South America	8
1.2.2.4	The phenomenon of enforced disappearance in Central America	11
1.2.2.5	Responses to remedy gross human rights violations of the past	14
1.2.3	Recent situations of internal conflict or unrest	17
1.2.4	The fight against international terrorism	21
1.2.5	Summary	23
1.3	The road towards an international convention for protection against enforced disappearance	25
1.3.1	Towards an international convention against enforced disappearance	25
1.3.2	The content of the ICPPED	28
1.4	The main research question of this study	29
1.4.1	Determining state responsibility	30
1.4.1.1	Attribution of international state responsibility	30
1.4.1.2	Interpretation methods	32
1.4.1.3	Proving state responsibility	37
1.4.2	The 'experiences of victims'	37

1.5	Scope and methodology of the study	38	2.6	The duty to instigate investigations into alleged crimes of enforced disappearance	76
1.5.1	Scope of the study	38	2.6.1	Requirements for a thorough and impartial investigation	76
1.5.2	Methodology	40	2.6.2	Room for the interpretation and application of the norms related to investigating the crime of enforced disappearance	79
1.6	Structure of the book	43	2.7	Norms related to the prosecution of the alleged perpetrators of the crime of enforced disappearance	79
1.7	Concluding remarks	44	2.7.1	Establishing jurisdiction over the crime of enforced disappearance	79
PART I PARAMETERS OF THE EVALUATIVE FRAMEWORK					
Chapter 2					
Protection under the ICPPED					
2.1	Introduction	49	2.7.2	The duty to either extradite or prosecute	80
2.2	The drafting of a binding international convention against enforced disappearance	50	2.7.3	The compatibility of statutes of limitations with the ICPPED	82
2.3	Definitional issues with respect to enforced disappearance	53	2.7.4	Amnesty laws: an unresolved issue	83
2.3.1	Definition of the right not to be subjected to enforced disappearance	53	2.7.5	Room for the interpretation and application of the norms related to prosecution	84
2.3.1.1	Deprivation of liberty	54	2.8	Additional norms related to investigation and prosecution	85
2.3.1.2	Involvement of state agents, at least indirectly by acquiescence	54	2.8.1	Cooperation between States Parties	85
2.3.1.3	Refusal to acknowledge the deprivation of liberty or concealment of the fate or whereabouts of the disappeared person	56	2.8.2	The right to know the truth	86
2.3.1.4	Placing the person concerned outside the protection of the law	56	2.8.3	The right to unite efforts in the search for disappeared persons and in assisting victims	87
2.3.1.5	Relation to other rights	58	2.8.4	Room for the interpretation and application of the additional norms related to investigation and prosecution	87
2.3.2	The continuous nature of enforced disappearance	59	2.9	Norms related to the punishment of the perpetrators of the crime of enforced disappearance	88
2.3.3	Enforced disappearance as a crime against humanity	60	2.9.1	The duty to punish and possibilities for mitigating and aggravating circumstances	88
2.3.4	Victims of enforced disappearance	62	2.9.2	Room for the interpretation and application of the norms related to punishment	89
2.3.5	Room for the interpretation and application of the definitional issues	63	2.10	Norms related to the compensation of victims of enforced disappearance	89
2.4	The right not to be subjected to enforced disappearance	64	2.10.1	The right to obtain reparation	89
2.4.1	An absolute and non-derogable right	64	2.10.2	Administrative measures regarding the legal status of victims	91
2.4.2	Room for the interpretation and application of the right not to be subjected to enforced disappearance	65	2.10.3	Room for the interpretation and application of the norms related to reparation	92
2.5	Norms related to the prevention of enforced disappearance	66	2.11	The duty to respond to crimes committed by non-state actors	92
2.5.1	Criminalising enforced disappearance in domestic law	66	2.11.1	The duty to investigate crimes and to bring the perpetrators to justice	92
2.5.2	Detention: communication, information and supervision	68	2.11.2	Room for the interpretation and application of the norms related to protection from crimes by non-state actors	92
2.5.3	Other measures not related to a specific case of deprivation of liberty	73	2.12	Concluding remarks: interpretation conundrums in the ICPPED that need further exploration	93
2.5.4	Room for the interpretation and application of the norms related to prevention	74			

Chapter 3		
Determining State Responsibility through the Examination of State Obligations		
3.1 Introduction	97	
3.2 The theory on typologies of state obligations	98	
3.3 Typologies of state obligations applied by treaty bodies	101	
3.3.1 The Human Rights Committee	101	
3.3.2 The European Court of Human Rights	103	
3.3.3 The Inter-American Court of Human Rights	109	
3.4 Obligations laid down in the ICPPED	115	
3.5 Concluding remarks: types of obligations used for the purpose of this study	116	
Chapter 4		
Protection Attuned to Experiences of Victims		
4.1 Introduction	119	
4.2 Impact on the disappeared person	120	
4.3 Repercussions on the relatives of the disappeared person	123	
4.3.1 The frozen grief and continuing anguish of relatives	124	
4.3.2 Mental and physical deterioration	127	
4.3.3 The response of the state as an aggravating factor	128	
4.3.4 Legal impediments in bringing the perpetrators to justice	133	
4.3.5 Impact of an enforced disappearance on the family as a whole	133	
4.4 Impact of an enforced disappearance on society	136	
4.5 The evaluative framework: five main causes of victims' suffering and the measures that contribute either to preventing them in the first place or to minimising their effects	138	
4.5.1 No trace of the disappeared person and denials by the state authorities	139	
4.5.2 Uncooperative and offensive conduct, or complete inaction, on the part of the state authorities in discovering the whereabouts or fate of the disappeared person	140	
4.5.3 <i>De facto</i> and <i>de jure</i> impunity	141	
4.5.4 An unsafe environment to conduct the search and other activities related to the enforced disappearance	143	
4.5.4.1 Preventing state agents from committing enforced disappearances: a criminologist perspective	145	
4.5.4.2 Measures contributing to a safe environment for relatives of disappeared persons	148	
4.5.5 Obstacles for victims to continue their 'normal' life	150	
4.6 Concluding remarks	152	
PART II COMPARATIVE CASE-LAW ANALYSIS		
Chapter 5		
Definitional Issues in Relation to Enforced Disappearance		
5.1 Introduction	157	
5.2 Enforced disappearance as a multiple violation of civil and political rights	158	
5.2.1 Human Rights Committee	158	
5.2.2 The Inter-American Court of Human Rights	160	
5.2.3 The European Court of Human Rights	164	
5.2.4 Comparative remarks on the multiple rights approach in light of the experiences of victims	167	
5.3 The definition of enforced disappearance	169	
5.3.1 Refusal to acknowledge the deprivation of liberty or disclose the fate or whereabouts of the person concerned	169	
5.3.2 Placement of the person concerned outside the protection of the law	170	
5.3.3 Enforced disappearance as a distinct human rights violation	171	
5.3.3.1 Enforced disappearance and other forms of deprivation of liberty	172	
5.3.3.2 Difference between enforced disappearances and extra-judicial killings	174	
5.3.4 Comparative remarks on the definition of enforced disappearance in light of the experiences of victims	176	
5.4 Victims of enforced disappearance	179	
5.4.1 The Human Rights Committee	179	
5.4.2 The Inter-American Court of Human Rights	180	
5.4.3 The European Court of Human Rights	184	
5.4.4 Victims and their personal claims in relation to the exhaustion of domestic remedies	187	
5.4.5 Comparative remarks in light of the experiences of victims	188	
5.5 The continuous nature of enforced disappearance	189	
5.5.1 The Human Rights Committee	191	
5.5.2 The Inter-American Court of Human Rights	195	
5.5.3 The European Court of Human Rights	199	
5.5.4 Comparative remarks on the continuous nature in light of the experiences of victims	202	

5.6 The systematic practice of enforced disappearance as a crime against humanity	203
5.6.1 The Inter-American Court of Human Rights	204
5.6.2 The European Court of Human Rights	205
5.6.3 Comparative remarks on the existence of a systematic practice in light of the experiences of victims	206
5.7 Concluding remarks	207

Chapter 6

Determining State Responsibility for Enforced Disappearance on the Basis of the Duty to Respect

6.1 Introduction	213
6.2 Preliminary remarks on evidentiary matters	214
6.3 State agents as the perpetrators of the crime of enforced disappearance	216
6.3.1 The Human Rights Committee	216
6.3.1.1 The admissibility of evidence: types of evidence and time-limits	216
6.3.1.2 The burden of proof	218
6.3.1.3 The standard of proof and the evaluation of evidence	220
6.3.2 The Inter-American Court of Human Rights	224
6.3.2.1 The admissibility of evidence	224
6.3.2.2 The burden of proof	227
6.3.2.3 The standard of proof and the evaluation of evidence	229
6.3.3 The European Court of Human Rights	235
6.3.3.1 The admissibility of evidence: types of evidence and time-limits	235
6.3.3.2 The burden of proof	236
6.3.3.3 The standard of proof and the evaluation of evidence	238
6.3.4 Comparative remarks in light of the experiences of victims	247
6.4 Responsibility based on the indirect involvement of the state	252
6.4.1 The Inter-American Court of Human Rights	253
6.4.2 The European Court of Human Rights	257
6.4.3 Comparative remarks in light of the experiences of victims	260
6.5 Concluding remarks	261

Chapter 7

Determining State Responsibility for Enforced Disappearance on the basis of the Duty to Prevent

7.1 Introduction	265
7.2 'Reasonableness' as the limiting factor to the scope of the duty to prevent	265
7.3 Protective measures to prevent the enforced disappearance of persons not in detention	268
7.3.1 The Human Rights Committee	268
7.3.2 The Inter-American Court of Human Rights	270
7.3.3 The European Court of Human Rights	272
7.3.4 Comparative remarks on protective measures in light of the experiences of victims	274
7.4 Safeguards surrounding arrest and detention	275
7.4.1 The Human Rights Committee	276
7.4.1.1 Safeguards under Article 9 ICCPR: the right to liberty and security	276
7.4.1.2 Articles 7, 10 and 16 ICCPR: contact between detainees and their relatives	279
7.4.2 The Inter-American Court of Human Rights	280
7.4.2.1 Safeguards under Article 7 ACHR (the right to liberty and security)	280
7.4.2.2 Keeping track of detained persons through custody records	287
7.4.2.3 Article 5 ACHR (the right to humane treatment) and the communication between detainees and their relatives	287
7.4.3 The European Court of Human Rights	288
7.4.3.1 Article 5 ECHR (the right to liberty and security)	288
7.4.3.2 Article 6 ECHR and access to a lawyer	293
7.4.3.3 Article 8 ECHR and the possibility of communication between detainees and relatives	294
7.4.4 Comparative remarks on safeguards surrounding arrest and detention in light of the experiences of victims	295
7.5 Additional obligations to prevent similar violations in the future	300
7.5.1 Human Rights Committee	300
7.5.2 The Inter-American Court of Human Rights	300
7.5.3 The European Court of Human Rights	304
7.5.4 Comparative remarks on measures of non-repetition in light of experiences of victims	306
7.6 Concluding remarks	309

Chapter 8**Determining State Responsibility Based on the Duties to Investigate, Prosecute, Punish and Provide Reparation**

8.1	Introduction	313
8.2	Legal basis of the duties to investigate, prosecute, punish and provide reparation	314
8.3	Justifications for the duties to investigate, prosecute and punish	318
8.3.1	Locating the disappeared person	318
8.3.2	Revealing the truth about the whereabouts or fate of the disappeared person	319
8.3.3	Combating impunity and reinforcement of the rule of law	319
8.3.4	Investigation and finding of guilt as prerequisites for administrative remedies and compensation	321
8.3.5	Comparative remarks in light of the experiences of victims	321
8.4	The duty to investigate	322
8.4.1	The Human Rights Committee	322
8.4.2	The Inter-American Court of Human Rights	324
8.4.3	The European Court of Human Rights	327
8.4.4	Comparative remarks in light of the experiences of victims	330
8.5	The right to know the truth	331
8.5.1	The Human Rights Committee	332
8.5.2	The Inter-American Court of Human Rights	334
8.5.3	The European Court of Human Rights	337
8.5.4	Comparative remarks in light of the experiences of victims	340
8.6	Combating impunity	345
8.6.1	The Human Rights Committee	346
8.6.1.1	Legal impediments to prosecution	347
8.6.1.2	The use of military courts	349
8.6.1.3	The international dimension of the duties to investigate and prosecute	351
8.6.1.4	Pardons and the duty to punish	352
8.6.2	The Inter-American Court of Human Rights	353
8.6.2.1	Factors leading to impunity: <i>La Cantuta v. Peru</i>	355
8.6.2.2	The <i>La Cantuta Case</i> in context	362
8.6.2.3	Duty to punish	369
8.6.3	The European Court of Human Rights	371
8.6.3.1	The nature of the duty to investigate and prosecute	371
8.6.3.2	The independence of the investigating and adjudicating authorities	375

8.6.3.3	Legal impediments to criminal proceedings	377
8.6.3.4	International cooperation and the duty to investigate	378
8.6.3.5	The duty to punish	379
8.6.4	Comparative remarks in light of the experiences of victims	380
8.7	Rationale, scope and content of the duty to provide reparations	388
8.7.1	Rationale behind the duty to provide reparations	388
8.7.2	The content of the duty to provide reparations	389
8.7.2.1	The Human Rights Committee	389
8.7.2.2	The Inter-American Court of Human Rights	390
8.7.2.3	The European Court of Human Rights	393
8.7.3	Comparative remarks in light of the experiences of victims	395
8.8	Concluding remarks	397

Chapter 9**The Duty to Protect Individuals at Risk of Being Subjected to Disappearance by Private Individuals or Groups of Individuals**

9.1	Introduction	399
9.2	Appropriate measures to investigate crimes committed by non-state actors and to bring the perpetrators to justice	401
9.3	The duty to take operational measures to protect	402
9.3.1	The European Court: the scope and content of the duty to take preventive operational measures in enforced disappearance cases	402
9.3.1.1	Application of the Osman test in enforced disappearance cases	404
9.3.1.2	The limits of the Osman test in enforced disappearance cases	411
9.3.1.3	Preventive operational measures and the right to liberty	413
9.3.2	The Inter-American Court of Human Rights	414
9.3.2.1	<i>The Pueblo Bello Massacre v. Colombia</i> : the facts of the case	414
9.3.2.2	<i>The Pueblo Bello Massacre v. Colombia</i> : a violation of the duty to prevent	417
9.3.2.3	Acquiescence versus the duty to prevent	421
9.3.3	Comparative remarks on taking operational measures in light of the experiences of victims	424
9.4	Concluding remarks	429

CONCLUSION**Chapter 10****Conclusions and Recommendations**

10.1	Introduction	435	10.6.1	Due diligence in the investigation	469
10.2	A victim perspective to the determination of international state responsibility for enforced disappearance: the parameters of the research	436	10.6.2	The investigation with the aim of prosecution	472
10.3	Definitional issues with respect to enforced disappearance	439	10.6.3	Legal standing and victim participation	473
10.3.1	Towards an all-encompassing definition of enforced disappearance that captures the seriousness of the crime	440	10.6.4	Limited room for legal impediments barring prosecution	474
10.3.1.1	The meaning of ‘fate or whereabouts’	440	10.6.5	The use of military courts in enforced disappearance cases	476
10.3.1.2	Constructive use of the fourth element of the definition, ‘placing the person outside the protection of the law’	441	10.6.6	Evaluation of evidence in domestic courts	477
10.3.1.3	Enforced disappearance as a distinct human rights violation	443	10.6.7	Different shades in the right to know the truth	478
10.3.2	The beginning and end of a continuous violation	445	10.7	The duty to punish the perpetrators for the crime of enforced disappearance	480
10.3.3	A well-defined yet inclusive notion of the victim	447	10.8	Determining state responsibility based on a failure to provide reparations to victims	481
10.3.4	A clear and transparent definition of enforced disappearance as a crime against humanity	449	10.9	The standard related to the duty to protect against acts committed by non-state actors	482
10.4	Determining state responsibility for the enforced disappearance itself: a violation of the duty to respect	451	10.10	Is the ICPPED apt for protection from transnational disappearances?	483
10.4.1	Acquiescence as the minimum threshold for the ‘state’ requirement	451	10.11	Guiding recommendations to the Committee on Enforced Disappearances	484
10.4.2	Proving state involvement: the importance of modified rules of evidence	455		Samenvatting (Summary in Dutch)	497
10.5	The duty to prevent – A state apparatus that minimises the possibilities that persons are subjected to enforced disappearance	459		Bibliography	509
10.5.1	Institutional safeguards surrounding arrest and detention	460		Table of Cases, Views and Decisions	529
10.5.1.1	Conditions for the deprivation of liberty	460		Index	539
10.5.1.2	Access to information about the whereabouts of detainees: custody records	461		Curriculum Vitae	543
10.5.1.3	Right to communicate with the outside world	463			
10.5.1.4	Prompt and diligent action by the judiciary: <i>habeas corpus</i> and access to places of detention	463			
10.5.2	General measures aimed at curbing the criminal behaviour of state agents	465			
10.5.3	Preventive measures protecting against threats from state agents	468			
10.6	The obligation to respond when the crime of enforced disappearance is committed: the duties to investigate and to prosecute	469			