

# Fundamental Rights in Europe

*Challenges and Transformations in  
Comparative Perspective*

FEDERICO FABBRINI

OXFORD  
UNIVERSITY PRESS

# *Contents*

<i>Table of Cases</i>	xi
<i>List of Abbreviations</i>	xx
<b>Introduction</b>	1
<b>1. Of Floors, Ceilings, and Human Rights: The European Fundamental Rights Architecture in Comparative Perspective</b>	4
1. Introduction	4
2. The European multilevel architecture for the protection of fundamental rights	6
3. “Sovereignism” vs. “Pluralism”: the existing literature and its limits	14
4. The European multilevel system in comparative perspective	25
5. The dynamics of the European multilevel architecture recast	35
6. Challenges and transformations: a model	45
7. The structure of the book	48
<b>2. The Right to Due Process for Suspected Terrorists</b>	51
1. Introduction	51
2. Context: the protection of due process rights in the EU member states after 9/11	53
3. Challenge: the impact of EU counter-terrorism law on due process rights	57
4. Comparative assessment: due process and national security in the US federal system	67
5. Recent developments: the case law of the European Court of Justice	78
6. Future prospects: beyond the Lisbon Treaty	85
7. Conclusion	93
<b>3. The Right to Vote for Non-Citizens</b>	96
1. Introduction	96
2. Context: electoral rights for non-citizens in the EU member states	99
3. Challenge: the impact of supranational law on states’ electoral laws	104
4. Comparative assessment: voting rights for non-citizens in the US federal system	114
5. Recent developments: the case law of the European Court of Justice	121
6. Future prospects: beyond the Lisbon Treaty	129
7. Conclusion	138

<b>4. The Right to Strike</b>	141
1. Introduction	141
2. Context: the protection of the right to strike at the state level in Europe	145
3. Challenge: the impact of the case law of the European Court of Justice on the protection of the right to strike in Europe	153
4. Comparative assessment: the right to strike in the US federal system	163
5. Recent developments: the case law of the European Court of Human Rights	172
6. Future prospects: beyond the Lisbon Treaty	181
7. Conclusion	192
<b>5. The Right to Abortion</b>	195
1. Introduction	195
2. Context: states' abortion laws	199
3. Challenge: the impact of supranational law on states' abortion laws	209
4. Comparative assessment: the right to abortion in the US federal system	218
5. Recent developments: the case law of the European Court of Human Rights	227
6. Future prospects: beyond the Lisbon Treaty	237
7. Conclusion	246
<b>6. The Protection of Fundamental Rights in Europe: Towards a "Neo-Federal" Theory</b>	248
1. Introduction	248
2. Four case studies	249
3. Three common patterns	255
4. Two stories	259
5. One model	262
6. From practice to theory	265
7. Conclusion: a "neo-federal" vision	269
<b>Conclusion</b>	272
<b>Bibliography</b>	274
<b>Index</b>	313

*Table of Cases*

AUSTRIA	
<i>Verfassungsgerichtshof (Federal Constitutional Court)</i>	
VfGH 7400 JBL [1974] .....	200
VfGH B3113/96, B3760/97 [1997] .....	109
VfSlg 17.264/2004 [2004] .....	102, 251
BELGIUM	
<i>Burgerlijke Rechtbank van Eerste Aanleg—Brussels (Court of First Instance—Brussels)</i>	
Civ. (4ème Ch.), 11 February 2005, <i>Sayadi and Vinck c. Etat belge</i> , not published .....	55
CALIFORNIA	
<i>Supreme Court</i>	
<i>People v. Abarbanel</i> , 239 Cal. App. 2d 31 (1965) .....	221
<i>People v. Belous</i> , 71 Cal. 2d 954 (Cal. 1969) .....	221
<i>Committee to Defend Reproductive Rights v. Myers</i> , 625 P.2d 779 [1981] .....	226
<i>County Sanitation District v. Los Angeles County Employee Ass'n</i> , 38 Cal. 3d 564 (1985) .....	171
CANADA	
<i>Supreme Court</i>	
<i>R. v. Morgentaler</i> , [1988] 1 S.C.R. 30 .....	219
<i>R. v. Morgentaler</i> , [1993] 3 S.C.R. 463 .....	219
COUNCIL OF EUROPE—EUROPEAN CONVENTION ON HUMAN RIGHTS	
<i>European Commission on Human Rights</i>	
<i>Brüggemann and Scheuten v. Germany</i> , ECommHR [1977] App. No. 6959/75 .....	213
<i>Confédération Française Démocratique du Travail v. EEC</i> , ECommHR [1978] App. No. 8030/77 .....	87
<i>X. v. United Kingdom</i> , ECommHR [1980] App. No. 8416/79 .....	213
<i>M and Co. v. Germany</i> , ECommHR [1990] App. No. 13258/87 .....	87
<i>Open Door Counselling v. Ireland</i> , ECommHR [1991] App. Nos 14234/88 and 14235/88 .....	213, 214
<i>R. H. v. Norway</i> , ECommHR [1992] App. No. 17004/90 .....	213
<i>European Court of Human Rights</i>	
<i>National Union of Belgian Police v. Belgium</i> , ECHR [1976] App. No. 4464/70 (Plenary) .....	155
<i>Schmidt and Dahlström v. Sweden</i> , ECHR [1976] App. No. 5589/72 .....	155
<i>Swedish Engine Drivers' Union v. Sweden</i> , ECHR [1976] App. No. 5614/72 .....	155
<i>Tyler v. United Kingdom</i> , ECHR [1978] App. No. 5856/72 .....	42
<i>Mathieu-Mohin and Clefayt v. Belgium</i> , ECHR [1987] App. No. 9267/81 (Plenary) .....	104
<i>Open Door Counselling v. Ireland</i> , ECHR [1992] App. Nos 14234/88 and 14235/88 (Plenary) .....	214, 231, 254
<i>Matthews v. United Kingdom</i> , ECHR [1999] App. No. 24833/94 (GC) .....	87, 104, 105, 123, 124

<i>UNISON v. United Kingdom</i> , ECHR [2002] App. No. 53574/99 . . . . .	155
<i>Wilson and others v. United Kingdom</i> , ECHR [2002] App. No. 28212/95 . . . . .	155
<i>Boso v. Italy</i> , ECHR [2002] App. No. 50490/99 . . . . .	215
<i>Vo v. France</i> , ECHR [2004] App. No. 53924/00 (GC) . . . . .	215
<i>Sante Santoro v. Italy</i> , ECHR [2004] App. No. 36681/97 . . . . .	104
<i>Von Hannover v. Germany</i> , ECHR [2004] App. No. 59320/00 . . . . .	39
<i>Py v. France</i> , ECHR [2005] App. No. 66289/01 . . . . .	104
<i>Hirst v. United Kingdom</i> , ECHR [2005] App. No. 74025/2001 (GC) . . . . .	18, 104, 266
<i>Bosphorus Hava Yolları Turizm v. Ireland</i> , ECHR [2005] App. No. 45036/98 (GC) . . . . .	87, 88
<i>D. v. Ireland</i> , ECHR [2006] App. No. 26499/02 . . . . .	216
<i>Demir &amp; Baykara v. Turkey</i> , ECHR [2006] App. No. 34503/97 . . . . .	174
<i>Evans v. United Kingdom</i> , ECHR [2007] App. No. 6339/05 (GC) . . . . .	215
<i>Tysiąc v. Poland</i> , ECHR [2007] App. No. 5410/03 . . . . .	205, 215, 216, 230
<i>Behrami and Behrami v. France and Saramati v. France, Germany and Norway</i> , ECHR [2007] App. Nos 71412/01 and 78166/01 (GC) . . . . .	88
<i>Demir &amp; Baykara v. Turkey</i> (No. 2), ECHR [2008] App. No. 34503/97 (GC) . . . . .	49, 143, 174, 175, 176, 177, 178, 179, 180, 181, 193, 253
<i>Enerji Yapi-Yol Sen v. Turkey</i> , ECHR [2009] App. No. 68959/01 . . . . .	143, 174, 177, 178, 179, 180, 181, 193, 253
<i>A., B. &amp; C. v. Ireland</i> , ECHR [2010] App. No. 25579/05 (GC) . . . . .	49, 197, 198, 228, 229, 230, 231, 233, 234, 236, 242, 243, 247, 254
<i>Schalk and Kopf v. Austria</i> , ECHR [2010], App. No. 30141/04 . . . . .	36
<i>Al-Jedda v. United Kingdom</i> , ECHR [2011] App. No. 27021/08 (GC) . . . . .	89
<i>R. R. v. Poland</i> , ECHR [2011] App. No. 27617/04 . . . . .	197, 228, 234, 235, 236, 247
<i>P. &amp; S. v. Poland</i> , ECHR [2012] App. No. 57375/08 . . . . .	197, 228, 235, 236, 247
<i>Von Hannover v. Germany</i> (No. 2), ECHR [2012] App. Nos 40660/08 and 60641/08 (GC) . . . . .	39
<i>Sitaropoulos &amp; Giakoumopoulos v. Greece</i> , ECHR [2012] App. No. 42202/07 (GC) . . . . .	130, 133
<i>Nada v. Switzerland</i> , ECHR [2012] App. No. 10593/08 (GC) . . . . .	49, 53, 89, 90, 93, 251

## CZECH REPUBLIC

<i>Ústavní soud České republiky (Constitutional Court)</i>	
<i>Pl. ÚS 5/12, judgment of 31 January 2012, Slovak Pension XVII</i> . . . . .	22

## EUROPEAN UNION

## Court of First Instance

<i>Case T-306/01 Ahmed A. Yusuf and Al Barakaat International Foundation v. EU Council and Commission</i> [2005] ECR II-3533 . . . . .	52, 62, 65
<i>Case T-351/01 Yassin A. Kadi v. Council of the EU and Commission of the EC</i> [2005] ECR II-3649 . . . . .	52, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 78, 79, 80, 84, 87, 88, 94, 95, 250, 258
<i>Case T-228/02 Organisation des Modjahedines du peuple d'Iran (OMPI) v. Council of the EU</i> [2006] ECR II-4665 . . . . .	79, 80, 81, 85
<i>Case T-253/02 Chakif Ayadi v. EU Council</i> [2006] ECR II-2139 . . . . .	65

## General Court

<i>Case T-85/09 Kadi v. Commission (Kadi II)</i> , judgment of 30 September 2010, nyr . . . . .	90, 91
---	--------

## Court of Justice

<i>Case 29/69 Stauder</i> [1969] ECR 419 . . . . .	9, 210
<i>Case 11/70 Internationale Handelsgesellschaft</i> [1970] ECR 1125 . . . . .	9

<i>Joined Cases C-21/72 and C-24/72 International Fruit Company</i> [1972] ECR I-1219 . . . . .	63
<i>Case 4/73 Nold</i> [1974] ECR 491 . . . . .	9, 256
<i>Case 175/73 Union Syndacale, Massa and Kortner v. Commission</i> [1974] ECR 917 . . . . .	154
<i>Case 18/75 Syndicat Général du Personnel Organismes Européens v. Commission</i> [1974] ECR 933 . . . . .	154
<i>Case 43/75 Defrenne v. Sabena</i> [1976] ECR 455 . . . . .	42
<i>Case 294/83 Les Verts v. Parliament</i> [1986] ECR 1339 . . . . .	81
<i>Case 222/84 Johnston v. Chief Constable of the Royal Ulster Constabulary</i> [1986] ECR 1651 . . . . .	62
<i>Case 314/85 Foto-Frost</i> [1987] ECR 4199 . . . . .	65
<i>Case 5/88 Wachauf v. Bundesamt für Ernährung und Forstwirtschaft</i> [1989] ECR 2609 . . . . .	10, 210
<i>Case C-260/89 ERT</i> [1991] ECR I-2925 . . . . .	10, 210
<i>Case C-159/90 Society for the Protection of the Unborn (SPUC) v. Grogan</i> [1991] ECR I-4685 . . . . .	210, 211, 212, 213, 214, 223, 238, 240, 242, 254
<i>Case C-369/90 Micheletti v. Delegación del Gobierno en Cantabria</i> [1992] ECR I-4258 . . . . .	112
<i>Case C-168/91 Konstantinidis</i> [1993] ECR I-1191 . . . . .	108
<i>Case C-92/92 Collins</i> [1993] ECR I-5145 . . . . .	107
<i>Case C-84/95 Bosphorus v. Minister of Transport</i> [1996] ECR I-3953 . . . . .	87
<i>Case C-67/96 Albany International</i> [1999] ECR I-5751 . . . . .	154, 157
<i>Case C-285/98 Tanja Kreil</i> [2000] ECR I-69 . . . . .	38
<i>Case C-184/99 Grzelczyk v. Centre public d'aide sociale</i> [2001] ECR I-6193 . . . . .	125
<i>Case C-224/98 D'Hoop</i> [2002] ECR I-6191 . . . . .	125
<i>Case C-112/00 Schmidberger</i> [2003] ECR I-5659 . . . . .	39
<i>Case C-36/02 Omega</i> [2003] ECR I-9609 . . . . .	39
<i>Case C-148/02 Garcia Avello</i> [2004] ECR I-11613 . . . . .	125
<i>Case C-200/02 Kunqian Catherine Zhu and Man Lavette Chen v Secretary of State for the Home Department</i> [2004] ECR I-9925 . . . . .	113
<i>Case C-192/95 Tas-Hagen en Tas</i> [2006] ECR I-10451 . . . . .	127
<i>Case C-145/04 Spain v. United Kingdom (Gibraltar)</i> [2006] ECR I-7917 . . . . .	98, 124, 129, 139
<i>Case C-300/04 Eman &amp; Sevinger (Aruba)</i> [2006] ECR I-8055 . . . . .	49, 97, 123, 124, 128, 139, 252
<i>Case C-355/04 Segi and others v. EU Council</i> [2007] ECR I-1657 . . . . .	65, 66
<i>Case C-438/05 Viking</i> [2007] ECR I-10779 . . . . .	49, 142, 156, 157, 158, 159, 160, 161, 162, 173, 174, 180, 181, 182, 183, 184, 185, 186, 187, 188, 192, 193, 194, 253, 257, 258
<i>Case C-341/05 Laval</i> [2007] ECR I-11767 . . . . .	49, 142, 156, 159, 160, 161, 162, 173, 174, 180, 181, 182, 183, 184, 185, 186, 187, 188, 192, 193, 194, 253, 257, 258
<i>Joined Cases C-11/06 and C-12/06 Morgan</i> [2007] ECR I-9161 . . . . .	127
<i>Case C-380/05 Centro Europa 7</i> [2008] ECR I-349 . . . . .	262
<i>Joined Cases C-402/05 P and C-415/05 P Kadi &amp; Al Barakaat International Foundation v. EU Council and Commission</i> [2008] ECR I-6351 . . . . .	49, 53, 79, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 89, 90, 93, 95, 251, 258
<i>Case C-550/09 Criminal Proceedings Against E and F</i> [2010] ECR I-6213 . . . . .	79, 83, 84, 85, 95, 251
<i>Case C-271/08 Commission v. Germany</i> [2010] ECR I-7091 . . . . .	161
<i>Case C-135/08 Rottmann v. Freistaat Bayern</i> [2010] ECR I-1449 . . . . .	98, 125, 126, 127, 128, 129
<i>Case C-34/09 Zambrano</i> , judgment of 8 March 2011, nyr . . . . .	49, 126, 127, 129, 139
<i>Case C-434/09 McCarthy</i> , judgment of 5 May 2011, nyr . . . . .	127
<i>Joined Cases C-411/10 NS and C-493/10 ME</i> , judgment of 21 December 2011, nyr . . . . .	19
<i>Case C-617/10 Åkerberg Fransson</i> , judgment of 26 February 2013, nyr . . . . .	39, 40, 238
<i>Case C-399/11 Stefano Melloni</i> , judgment of 26 February 2013, nyr . . . . .	40, 41
<i>Joined Cases C-584/10 P, C-593/10 P and C-595/10 P Commission, Council and United Kingdom v. Kadi</i> , judgment of 18 July 2013, nyr . . . . .	49, 91, 92, 93, 95, 251

## FRANCE

<b>Conseil Constitutionnel (Constitutional Court)</b>	
Décision 71-44 DC .....	8
Décision 75-17 DC .....	202
Décision 92-308 DC .....	111
Décision 92-312 DC .....	111
Décision 2001-446 DC .....	202
Décision 2001-449 DC .....	202
<b>Conseil d'Etat (Council of State)</b>	
C.E., <i>Ministre de l'Equipment et du Logement c. Fédération des Défenses des Personnes Concernées par le Projet Ville Nouvelle Est de Lille</i> , judgment 28 May 1971 .....	56
C.E. No. 262626, <i>Association Secours Mondial de France</i> , judgment 3 November 2004 .....	56, 250
<b>Cour de Cassation (Supreme Court)</b>	
Cass Soc. 7 June 1995 (RJS 8-9/95 no 933) .....	147

## GERMANY

<b>Bundesverfassungsgericht (Federal Constitutional Court)</b>	
BVerfGE 37, 271 (1974) ( <i>Solange I</i> ) .....	9, 66
BVerfGE 39, 1 (1975) .....	204
BVerfGE 73, 339 (1986) ( <i>Solange II</i> ) .....	66
BVerfGE 63, 37 (1990) .....	102, 251
BVerfGE 63, 60 (1990) .....	102
BVerfGE 88, 203 (1993) .....	204
BVerfGE 59 NJW 751 (2006) .....	55
BVerfGE 1 BvR 653/96, (1999) ( <i>Caroline von Monaco</i> ) .....	39
BVerfGE 123, 267 (2009) ( <i>Lissabon Urteil</i> ) .....	18
<b>Bundesgerichtshof (Federal Supreme Court)</b>	
BGHSt 49, 112 (2004) .....	55, 250
<b>Bundesarbeitsgericht (Federal Supreme Labour Court)</b>	
BAG, 28.01.1955—GS 1/54 .....	149

## GREECE

<b>Symvoulio tis Epikrateias (Council of State)</b>	
460/2013, decision of 4 February 2013 .....	102

## ILLINOIS

<b>Supreme Court</b>	
<i>Spragins v. Houghton</i> , 3 Ill. (2 Scam.) 377 (1840) .....	117

## INTER-AMERICAN COMMISSION ON HUMAN RIGHTS

<i>El-Masri v. US</i> , IACommHR, Petition No. 419-08, 27 August 2009 .....	77
---	----

## INTERNATIONAL COURT OF JUSTICE

<i>Nottebohm (Lichtenstein v. Guatemala)</i> , I.C.J. Reports 1955, p. 4 .....	112
<i>Legal Consequences for States of the Continued Presence of South Africa in Namibia (South West Africa) Notwithstanding S/Res/276 (1970)</i> , Advisory Opinion, I.C.J. Reports 1971, p. 16 .....	61

## IOWA

<b>Supreme Court</b>	
<i>Varnum v. Brien</i> , 763 N.W.2d 862 (Iowa 2009) .....	36

## IRELAND

<b>High Court</b>	
<i>Society for the Protection of Unborn Children Ireland Ltd. v. Open Door Counselling</i> [1988] IR 593 (H. Ct.) .....	213
<i>Society for the Protection of Unborn Children Ireland Ltd. v. Grogan</i> [1989] IR 753 (H. Ct.) .....	210
<i>Attorney General v. X.</i> [1992] ILRM 401, 410 (H. Ct.) .....	207

## Supreme Court

<i>McGee v. Attorney General</i> [1974] IR 284 .....	206
<i>Article 26 of the Constitution and in the Matter of the Electoral (Amendment) Bill 1983, In re</i> [1984] 1 IR 268 .....	100
<i>Society for the Protection of Unborn Children Ireland Ltd. v. Open Door Counselling</i> [1988] IR 618 .....	213
<i>Society for the Protection of Unborn Children Ireland Ltd. v. Grogan</i> [1989] 4 IR 760 .....	206, 210
<i>Attorney General v. X.</i> [1992] 1 IR 41 .....	207, 208, 212
<i>Article 26 of the Constitution and the Regulation of Information (Services Outside the State for Termination of Pregnancy) Bill, In re</i> [1995] 1 IR 1 .....	208

## ITALY

<b>Corte Costituzionale (Constitutional Court)</b>	
Sentenza 123/1962 .....	147
Sentenza 183/1973 ( <i>Frontini</i> ) .....	9, 66
Sentenza 27/1975 .....	202
Sentenza 290/1974 .....	147
Sentenza 372/2004 .....	101
Sentenza 379/2004 .....	101
Sentenza 348/2007 .....	12
Sentenza 349/2007 .....	12
Sentenza 138/2010 .....	36

**Corte di Cassazione (Supreme Court)**  
Cass. 30 gennaio 1980, n. 711 in Giustizia Civile, 1980, I 1088 . . . . . 147

**Consiglio di Stato (Council of State)**  
Sez. V, sentenza n. 4207/2005, *Federfarma* . . . . . 18

## MASSACHUSETTS

**Supreme Judicial Court**  
*Goodridge v. Department of Public Health*, 440 Mass. 309 (2003) . . . . . 31

## NETHERLANDS

**Hoge Raad (Supreme Court)**  
HR 30 May 1986, NJ 1986, 688 . . . . . 145

## NEW JERSEY

**Court of Appeal**  
*ACLU of New Jersey v. County of Hudson*, 799 A.2d 629 (2002) . . . . . 70

## NEW YORK

**Supreme Court—Appellate Division**  
*Hope v. Perales*, 189 A.D.2d 287 (1993) . . . . . 38, 226

## PENNSYLVANIA

**Supreme Court**  
*Stewart v. Foster*, 2 Binn. 110 (Pa. 1809) 25 . . . . . 117

## POLAND

**Trybunał Konstytucyjny (Constitutional Court)**  
TK, decision of 28 May 1997, K 26/96 . . . . . 206  
TK, decision of 11 May 2005, K 18/04 . . . . . 101

## SPAIN

**Tribunal Constitucional (Constitutional Court)**  
STC Sentencia 11/1981 . . . . . 145  
STC Sentencia 53/1985 . . . . . 203  
STC Recurso 4523-10 pending . . . . . 203  
STC Recurso 4541-10 pending . . . . . 203

## SWITZERLAND

**Tribunal Fédéral (Federal Supreme Court)**  
*Youssef Nada v. SECO* 1A.45/2007/daa, judgment of 14 November 2007 . . . . . 90  
*A v. Département fédéral de l'économie* 2A.783/2008/svc, judgment of 23 January 2008 . . . . . 90

## UNITED KINGDOM

**Court of Appeal**  
*NURMT v SERCO, ASLEF v. London and Birmingham Railway Ltd.* [2011] EWCA Civ 226 . . . . . 179

**King's Bench**  
*R. v. Bourne* [1939] 1 KB 687 . . . . . 206

**House of Lords**  
*Taff Vale Railway Co. Ltd. v. Amalgamated Society of Railway Servants* [1901] AC 426 . . . . . 151  
*Miles v. Wakefield Metropolitan District Council* [1987] AC 539 . . . . . 152  
*A. and others v. Secretary of State for the Home Dept.* [2004] UKHL 56 . . . . . 55  
*R. (On the application of Al-Jedda) v. Secretary of State* [2007] UKHL 58 . . . . . 55, 56, 90, 250

**Supreme Court**  
*R. v. Jabar Ahmed* [2010] UKSC 2 . . . . . 85

## UNITED NATIONS

**Human Rights Committee**  
*CCPR/CO/82/POL*, Concluding Observations on Poland, 2 December 2004 . . . . . 243  
*CCPR/C/IRL/CO/3*, Concluding Observations on Ireland, 30 July 2008 . . . . . 243  
*CCPR/C/94/D/1472/2006*, Decision in case *Sayadi and Vinck v. Belgium*, 22 October 2008 . . . . . 55

## UNITED STATES

**District Courts**  
*KindHearts for Charitable Humanitarian Developments v. Geithner*, 647 F. Supp. 2d 857 (N.D. Ohio 2009) . . . . . 68  
*Kadi v. Geithner*, 2012 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 36053 (D.D.C., March 19, 2012) . . . . . 68

**Courts of Appeals**  
*El-Masri v. US*, 479 F.3d 296 (4th Cir. 2007) . . . . . 77  
*Isaacson v. Horne*, 716 F.3d 1213 (9th Cir. 2013) . . . . . 38, 225

## Supreme Court

*Marbury v. Madison*, 5 U.S. 137 (1803) . . . . . 76  
*Barron v. Baltimore*, 32 U.S. (7 Pet.) 243 (1833) . . . . . 30  
*Dred Scott v. Sandford*, 19 U.S. (How.) 393 (1857) . . . . . 30, 117, 132  
*Minor v. Happerset*, 88 U.S. 162 (1874) . . . . . 118, 124  
*Plessy v. Ferguson*, 163 U.S. 537 (1896) . . . . . 42  
*United States v. Wong Kim Ark*, 169 U.S. 649 (1898) . . . . . 120  
*Pope v. Williams*, 193 U.S. 621 (1904) . . . . . 118, 121  
*Lochner v. New York*, 198 U.S. 45 (1905) . . . . . 166, 167, 172, 183

<i>Adair v. United States</i> , 208 U.S. 161 (1908) . . . . .	167
<i>Loewe v. Lowlor</i> , 208 U.S. 274 (1908) . . . . .	167
<i>Coppage v. Kansas</i> , 236 U.S. 1 (1915) . . . . .	167
<i>Charles Wolff Packing Co. v. Court of Industrial Relations</i> , 262 U.S. 522 (1923) . . . . .	167, 168, 172, 253
<i>Gitlow v. New York</i> , 268 U.S. 652 (1925) . . . . .	221
<i>Dorchy v. Kansas</i> , 272 U.S. 306 (1926) . . . . .	168, 253
<i>New State Ice Co. v. Liebmann</i> , 285 U.S. 262 (1932) . . . . .	36
<i>Schechter Poultry Corp. v United States</i> , 295 U.S. 495 (1935) . . . . .	168, 191
<i>NLRB v. Jones and Laughlin Steel Corp.</i> , 301 U.S. 1 (1937) . . . . .	169
<i>New Negro Alliance v. Sanitary Grocery Co.</i> , 303 U.S. 552 (1938) . . . . .	169
<i>NLRB v. Mackay Radio &amp; Telegraph Co.</i> , 304 U.S. 333 (1938) . . . . .	170
<i>Consolidated Edison Co. v. NLRB</i> , 305 U.S. 197 (1938) . . . . .	170
<i>NLRB v. Fansteel Metallurgical</i> , 306 U.S. 240 (1939) . . . . .	171
<i>Edwards v. California</i> , 314 U.S. 160 (1941) . . . . .	119
<i>Ex parte Quirin</i> , 317 U.S. 1 (1942) . . . . .	70
<i>U.A.W. Local 232 v. Wisconsin Employment Relations Board</i> , 336 U.S. 245 (1949) . . . . .	171
<i>Brown v. Board of Education</i> , 347 U.S. 483 (1954) . . . . .	42
<i>Building Trade Council v. Garmon</i> , 359 U.S. 236 (1959) . . . . .	170
<i>Baker v. Carr</i> , 369 U.S. 186 (1962) . . . . .	119
<i>Reynolds v. Sims</i> , 377 U.S. 533 (1964) . . . . .	119
<i>Carrington v. Rush</i> , 380 U.S. 89 (1965) . . . . .	119
<i>Textile Workers Union v. Darlington</i> , 380 U.S. 263 (1965) . . . . .	171
<i>Griswold v. Connecticut</i> , 381 U.S. 479 (1965) . . . . .	206, 221
<i>South Carolina v. Katzenbach</i> , 383 U.S. 301 (1966) . . . . .	119
<i>Harper v. Virginia Board of Elections</i> , 383 U.S. 663 (1966) . . . . .	119
<i>Katzenbach v. Morgan</i> , 384 U.S. 641 (1966) . . . . .	119
<i>Shapiro v. Thompson</i> , 349 U.S. 618 (1969) . . . . .	119
<i>Dunn v. Blumstein</i> , 405 U.S. 330 (1972) . . . . .	119, 128, 133, 252
<i>Furman v. Georgia</i> , 408 U.S. 238 (1972) . . . . .	44
<i>Roe v. Wade</i> , 410 U.S. 113 (1973) . . . . .	38, 196, 197, 206, 221, 222, 223, 224, 226, 227, 228, 236, 241, 244, 245, 246, 247, 254, 255
<i>Doe v. Bolton</i> , 410 U.S. 179 (1973) . . . . .	222
<i>Bigelow v. Virginia</i> , 421 U.S. 809 (1975) . . . . .	223
<i>Planned Parenthood of Central Missouri v. Danforth</i> , 428 U.S. 52 (1976) . . . . .	223
<i>Gregg v. Georgia</i> , 428 U.S. 153 (1976) . . . . .	44
<i>Maher v. Roe</i> , 432 U.S. 464 (1977) . . . . .	223
<i>Prunyard Shopping Ctr. v. Robins</i> , 447 U.S. 74 (1980) . . . . .	37
<i>Harris v. McRae</i> , 448 U.S. 297 (1980) . . . . .	223
<i>City of Akron v. Akron Center for Reproductive Health Inc.</i> , 462 U.S. 416 (1983) . . . . .	223
<i>Planned Parenthood Association of Kansas City v. Ashcroft</i> , 462 U.S. 476 (1983) . . . . .	223
<i>Bowers v. Hardwick</i> , 478 U.S. 186 (1986) . . . . .	42
<i>Lyng v. Auto Workers</i> , 485 U.S. 360 (1988) . . . . .	171
<i>Gregory v. Ashcroft</i> , 501 U.S. 452 (1991) . . . . .	37
<i>Lechmere Inc. v. NLRB</i> , 502 U.S. 527 (1992) . . . . .	171
<i>Gade v. National Solid Waste Management Association</i> , 505 U.S. 88 (1992) . . . . .	70
<i>Planned Parenthood of South-eastern Pennsylvania v. Casey</i> , 505 U.S. 833 (1992) . . . . .	224, 225, 227, 255
<i>U.S. Term Limits, Inc. v. Thornton</i> , 514 U.S. 779 (1995) . . . . .	270
<i>Printz v. United States</i> , 521 U.S. 898 (1997) . . . . .	69, 250
<i>Stenberg v. Carhart</i> , 530 U.S. 914 (2000) . . . . .	225
<i>Lawrence v. Texas</i> , 539 U.S. 558 (2003) . . . . .	42
<i>Yaser E. Hamdi et al v. Donald H. Rumsfeld et al</i> , 542 U.S. 507 (2004) . . . . .	52, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77
<i>Salim A. Hamdan v. Donald H. Rumsfeld et al</i> , 548 U.S. 557 (2006) . . . . .	72, 73, 74, 75, 77, 251

<i>Gonzales v. Carhart</i> , 550 U.S. 124 (2007) . . . . .	225
<i>Lakhdar Boumediene et al v. George W. Bush et al</i> , 553 U.S. 723 (2008) . . . . .	52, 75, 76, 77, 251
<i>New Process Steel v. NLRB</i> , 130 S.Ct. 2635 (2010) . . . . .	185
<i>Arizona v. Inter Tribal Council of Arizona, Inc.</i> , 570 U.S. __ (2013) . . . . .	120
<i>Shelby County v. Holder</i> , 570 U.S. __ (2013) . . . . .	132, 262
<i>United States v. Windsor</i> , 570 U.S. __ (2013) . . . . .	36