Donación Dr. Enrique Petracchi

,

Rethinking Money Laundering & Financing of Terrorism in International Law

Towards a New Global Legal Order

Ву

Roberto Durrieu



LEIDEN • BOSTON 2013

Acknowledgments	xi
Foreword	xv
Note About Formatting	xvii
List of Abbreviations	xix
Table of Cases	xxi
Table of Legislation	xxvii
Introduction	1

PART I

THE MAIN EXTRA-LEGAL AND LEGAL ASPECTS CONCERNING MONEY LAUNDERING & FINANCING OF TERRORISM

Chapter I: The Main Extra-Legal Factors	13
1. Overview	13
2. What Is 'Money Laundering'?	13
3. How Does Money Laundering Operate?	21
3.1. Why Do Criminals Choose Money Laundering?	22
3.2. Understanding the Stages of a Money Laundering Process	30
3.3. The Internationalization of Money Laundering Operations	36
3.4. A Mutable and Changeable Process	42
3.5. A White Collar Crime	44
3.6. A Modern Offence	45
3.7. Quantum	47
4. Evaluating the Causes and Effects of Money Laundering—Why Are	
Some Markets/Territories More Attractive Than Others to Submit	
Criminal Assets for Laundering?	49

5. Money Laundering and Its Nexus with the Financing of Terrorism 6. The Link or Nexus between 'Money Laundering' and 'Money Dirtying'	66
Operations	72
Ob to II to bit store of the International Logal Order against Manage	
Chapter II: Architecture of the International Legal Order against Money	
Laundering & Financing of Terrorism	75
1. Overview	75
2. Reasons for Taking Legal Actions against Money Laundering &	
Financing of Terrorism	75
2.1. Why Prohibit Money Laundering?	76
2.2. Why Prohibit 'Financing of Terrorism'?	93
3. Evolution of the International Legal Order against the Criminal	
Finance	97
3.1. The Origins of Money Laundering Law	98
3.2. International Conventions and EU Directives (Hard Law	
Instruments): Drug Trafficking, Organized Crime, Terrorism	
and, Finally, Corruption	104
3.2.1. First Phase: Internationalization of Drug-Money	
Laundering Offences	104
3.2.2. Second Phase: Internationalization of the Anti-ML Legal	
Order for Countering Organized Crime	106
3.2.3. Third Phase: After the 9/11 Attacks, the Anti-ML Legal	
Order Was Expanded to Include the Financing of	
Terrorism	110
3.2.4. Fourth Phase: Internationalization of Anti-Money	
Laundering Norms to Control Public Corruption	118
3.3. International Recommendations, Model Laws and Resolutions	
(Soft Law Instruments)	119
3.4. Examining the Evolution of the Global Legal Order	
in Hard Law Instruments	129
4. The Main Goal: To Harmonize and Integrate the Global Legal Order	
against Money Laundering	137
4.1. Understanding the Relevance in the Harmonization of	
Anti-Money Laundering Norms in the Sphere of 'Hard'	
International Law	137
4.2. Some Inconsistencies and Problems in the Harmonization	•
Process: A Way Forward	143
4.3. Final Comments	149
5. The Preventive-Regulatory Approach: Providing a Definition and	
Analysis of the International 'Anti-Money Laundering/Countering	
Financing of Terrorism' (AML-CFT) System	151
5.1. General Overview and Definition of the Regulatory AML-CFT	0-
System	151
System management	- J -

CONTENTS
001111110

	5.2.	The New AML-CFT Regulatory System and the Right to Privacy	169
6.	The	Punitive Approach: The Transnational Crime of ML	171
	6.1.	Towards a 'Core' International Crime of Money Laundering?	172
	6.2.	The (Too Ambiguous) Crime of Money Laundering as Drafted	
		in Hard Law InstrumentsReasons for Adopting a New, Less	
		Ambiguous and Better Structured Definition of the Treaty	
		Crime of ML	174
	6.3.	The Condition of 'Dual Criminality' in the Context of	•
	-	International Cooperation between Countries	179

PART II

A RIGHTS-BASED ANALYSIS OF MONEY LAUNDERING OFFENCES: A GLOBAL COMPARATIVE PERSPECTIVE

Chapter III: Analysis of the Social Values Protected by Money Law	undering
Offences	
1. Overview	
2. Preliminary Comments: The Criminalization Process and th	ie
Principle of ultima ratio of Criminal Law	
3. The Crime of Money Laundering: A 'uni-offensive' Crime,	
a 'multi-offensive' Crime or Neither?	
3.1. Money Laundering as a Natural Consequence or a sine	qua non
Condition of the Predicate Offence	
3.2. The Administration of Justice as the Main Social Value	204
3.3. The Socio-Economic and Financial System as the Main	i Social
Value	
3.4. Stability, Sovereignty and Security of States as the Main	n Social
Value	225
4. Towards an Autonomous and Multi-Offensive Crime of Mo	ney
Laundering?	228
5. Some Final Thoughts: The Right Way Forward	
Chapter IV: The Physical Element or actus reus of Money Launde	ring 239
1. Overview	
2. The Actions of Laundering	
2.1. Conversion or Transfer of Proceeds of Crime and the U	Ilterior
Intent to Conceal These Proceeds	
2.2. Concealment or Disguise of Proceeds of Crime	246
2.3. Acquisition, Possession or Use of Proceeds of Crime	249
2.4. The Criminalized Acts of Laundering in Light of the 'H	
Money Laundering: The Right Way Forward	

3. Examining the Word 'Property' That Represents the 'Proceeds of	
Crime'	264
3.1. Discussing the General Features of the Word 'Property':	
A Global Comparative Perspective	265
3.2. The Problem of 'Originated' or 'Surrogated' Proceeds of Crime	•
and the so-called 'Chain ML Process'	268
4. Key Aspects Related to the Predicate Offence of Money	
Laundering	271
4.1 Defining the Scope of the Predicate Offence	272
4.1.1. A Global Comparative Analysis of the Legislative	
Models to Define Predicate Offences	272
4.1.2. Inconsistencies in Defining the Scope of Predicate	
Offences and the Condition of Dual Criminality	287
4.1.3. Towards an All-Crimes Approach to Define Predicate	-
Offences, but Including a Quantitative Limitation Clause	
and Penalizing 'Smurfing' Conducts as Well	288
4.1.4. Some Final Thoughts	290
4.2. Tax Fraud as a Predicate Offence of Money Laundering	292
4.3. A Prior or Simultaneous Conviction for the Predicate Offence	_
as a Prerequisite for a Conviction of ML? Analysis of Different	
Alternatives	302
4.4. Evaluating the Levels of Evidence to Prove the Existence of a	•
Predicate Offence	310
4.5. Establishing the Existence of a Predicate Offence versus the	
Right to be Presumed Innocent (The Non-Reversal of the	
Burden of Proof)	322
4.6. Circumstantial Evidence and Its Relevance to Prove the	•
Existence of a Predicate Offence	327
5. A Debate: Administrative or Civil Offences as Predicate Offences	•
of Money Laundering Offences?	329
6. A Final Debate: The Transferring, Laundering or Handling of Legal	-
Funds with the Intent to Promote the Carrying On of Criminal	
Activities as a Criminal Offence	331
Chapter V: The Mental or Subjective Element of Money Laundering	339
1. Overview	339
2. Defining the Levels of Mind and the Degrees of Negligence in	
Common and Civil Law Systems	340
3. The Intentional Crime of ML: Analysis of both dolus directus/Actual	
Knowledge and dolus eventualis/Recklessness Levels of mens rea	345
3.1. Knowledge That the Property Involved Represents the Proceeds	
of Crime	346

3.2. Knowledge of the Circumstances and Consequences of the Acts of Laundering	352
3.3. An Ulterior and Specific Intent to Conceal or Disguise the	30*
Proceeds	354
4. Mistake of Fact in the Crime of Money Laundering	359
5. Proof of Knowledge and Ulterior (Specific) Intent	362
6. Is There a Place for a Negligent ML Offence? Arguments in Favor	
of Only Penalizing Intentional Crimes of ML	364
7. Conclusion	370
	314
	570
Chapter VI: Should the ML Offence Apply to the Person Who Committed	57~
Chapter VI: Should the ML Offence Apply to the Person Who Committed the Predicate Offence?	371
Chapter VI: Should the ML Offence Apply to the Person Who Committed	
Chapter VI: Should the ML Offence Apply to the Person Who Committed the Predicate Offence?	371
Chapter VI: Should the ML Offence Apply to the Person Who Committed the Predicate Offence?	371 371
Chapter VI: Should the ML Offence Apply to the Person Who Committed the Predicate Offence?	371 371
 Chapter VI: Should the ML Offence Apply to the Person Who Committed the Predicate Offence? 1. Overview 2. A Global Comparative Perspective 3. Arguments in Favor and against the Adoption of a ML Offence 	371 371
 Chapter VI: Should the ML Offence Apply to the Person Who Committed the Predicate Offence? 1. Overview	371 371 372
 Chapter VI: Should the ML Offence Apply to the Person Who Committed the Predicate Offence? 1. Overview 2. A Global Comparative Perspective 3. Arguments in Favor and against the Adoption of a ML Offence That Does Not Apply to the Person(s) Who Committed the Predicate Offence 	371 371 372 376

PART III

JURISDICTIONAL PROBLEMS OVER MONEY LAUNDERING OFFENCES

Chapter VII: Establishing Jurisdiction over Money Laundering	395
1. Overview	395
2. The Various Forms of Jurisdiction over Money Laundering	
Offences	396
2.1. Defining the Various Forms of Jurisdiction	396
2.2. An International Comparative Analysis	399
3. The Condition of Dual Criminality in the Context of Predicate	
Offences Perpetrated in a Foreign Country or Territory	401
4. The Inclusion of Extra-Territorial Jurisdiction over ML Offences	
at the Doniestic Level	408
4.1. Introduction	408
4.2. The Spanish Case Study: Universal Jurisdiction over	
ML Offences (Article 301 (4) of the Spanish Penal Code)	409
4.3. The United States Case Study: The Leading Case 'Pasquantino	
et al. v. the US'	413
4.4. Some Final Thoughts	415
-	

5. The Crime of Money Laundering within the Jurisdiction of a	
Specialized International Penal Court	416
	416
5.2. How Is the International Criminal Court (ICC) Organized?	418
5.3. The Crime of Money Laundering as a 'Serious' and	
'Transnational' Offence That Concerns the International	
Community	420
5.4. National v. International Jurisdiction for the Prosecution of	
Serious and Transnational ML Offences	423
5.5. Examples to Include Serious and Transnational ML Offences	
before the International Judiciary	426
Conclusions and Policy Implications	429
1. Conclusions Part I	
2. Conclusions Part II	435
3. Conclusions Part III	442
4. General Conclusions: A Way Forward	444
Appendix I: Relevant Money Laundering Cases	449
Selected Bibliography	
Index	467