Do<mark>nación</mark> ". Enrique Petra**cchi**

DIRECTIVES and NORMS

by
ALF ROSS



NEW YORK
HUMANITIES PRESS

ENRIQUE SANTIAGO PETRACCHI PRESIDENTE DE LA CORTE SUPREMA DE JUSTICIA DE LA NACION

page ix

CONTENTS

Preface

I

	V	
	function	29
8	'Posing' a proposition is a speech-act which has a fabulating	
	informative function, by virtue of the basic norm of com- munication	19
7	Asserting a proposition is an act of communication with an	
	loquistic act which has an adjudicative function	15
6	Accepting or rejecting a proposition as true or false is a soli-	
	of a topic conceived as real	I 2
5	A sentence in indicative discourse is a linguistic figure expressing a proposition (an indicative), which is the idea	
	(or describes) a topic	9
4	The phrase is a linguistic figure which expresses the idea of	
	icative Speech	
	for deontic logic	7
	on the basis of this distinction, and to help lay a foundation	_
	of this study to explicate the concepts 'directive' and 'norm'	
3	Indicative and directive speech are distinguished. It is the aim	
	(3) with semantic meaning and (4) pragmatic function	3
	is (1) a phonetic sequence (2) of correct syntactic structure	
2	Speech is the concrete linguistic phenomenon. A speech-act	
	dation	I
	what the subject of this distinction is, and what is its foun-	
r	cal' and 'practical' discourse. It remains undecided, however,	
	Traditionally a distinction has been made between 'theoreti-	
Int	roduction	

CONTENTS

TIT	Directive Speech	
111	9 A sentence in directive speech is a linguistic form which expresses a directive, that is, an action-idea conceived as a	
	pattern of behaviour	34
	The class of directives called 'personal' includes as a sub-	
	class 'speaker-interested' directives, which include (1)	
	sanctioned commands and invitations, (2) authoritative commands and invitations, and (3) sympathy-conditioned	
	requests	38
	11 Personal directives which are hearer-interested include	
	advice, warnings, recommendations, and directions for use	44
	12 Personal directives which are disinterested are called exhor-	
	tations or admonitions	47
	13 Directives which are impersonal and heteronomous are	
	called quasi-commands. They include (1) legal rules; and (2) conventional rules (conventional morality, courtesy and	
	decency)	48
	14 Directives which are impersonal and heteronomous-	
	autonomous include the rules of games and similar arrange-	53
	ments founded on agreement 15 Impersonal directives which are autonomous comprise the	,,
	15 1mpersonal directives which are autonomous compressions	57
	principles and judgments of personal morality	57
	16 Acceptance is a soliloquistic act whose function is adjudica-	
	tive. It occurs only with regard to the autonomous directives	
	of morality. According to the non-cognitive view, acceptance	61
	is constitutive	Ų1
	17 Directives are normally used in communication by advancing them with directive function	68
	18 The fundamental difference between indicative and directive	
	speech is to be found at the semantic level. This difference	
	conditions corresponding pragmatic distinction of function,	
	and is related to standard differences at the grammatical	
	level	69
	19 The distinction between indicative and directive speech is not	
	exhaustive	74
IV	The Concept of a Norm	
	20 From the point of view of the social sciences a norm is to be	
	defined neither merely as a linguistic phenomenon (the meaning	
	content which is a directive) nor merely as a social fact	78
	vi	

CONTENTS

21 A norm is to be defined as a directive which corresponds in a	
particular way to certain social facts	82
22 Comments in further explanation of the concept 'norm'	92
An Analysis of the Elements of a Norm 23 According to how the subject of a norm is determined a distinction is made between individual and universal norms. A norm is individual if its subject is determined as a closed	
class, by the use either of genuine proper names or of descriptions which are combined with an indication of time 24 According to how the situation is determined by the norm, we distinguish between occasional norms and rules. Rules	106
are either hypothetical or categorical 25 According to how the theme of the norm is determined, we	111
can distinguish between rigorous and discretionary norms	112
26 Chains of norms. Commands and prohibitions	113
27 In a formalized language the directive operator is expressed by the word 'obligation'. In legal language a number of other derivative modal expressions are used. Von Wright's assertion that 'permission' cannot be defined as the negation of obligation is disputed 28 Comments on the table of legal modalities 29 It is possible to interpret the legal modalities in such a way that they have, to some extent, an application to non-legal normative discourse	116 124 135
Deontic Logic	
30 The fact that norms (directives) are without truth-value	139
does not rule out the possibility of deontic logic 31 Inindicative logicexternal and internal negation are equivalent	143
31 Inmatative togic external and internal negation are not equivalent. Internal deontic negation is different from the	.,
corresponding indicative negation 33 External and internal deontic disjunction are not equivalent. Internal deontic disjunction is different from the correspond-	150
ino indicative disjunction	158
34 External and internal deontic conjunction are presumed to be equivalent and analogous to indicative conjunction	163
35 External and internal deontic implication are not equiva- lent. Mixed values occur in the value-table of internal	,
deontic implication	162

CONTENTS

36	Psychological interpretations of deontic logic: Ross (1941)	۷.
-	and von Wright	168
37	Following Weinberger, the principles of deontic logic are	
•	interpreted as postulates defining directive speech. 'Validity'	
	is not on an equal footing with 'truth' but is derived from	
	the concept of 'acceptance' common to both ramifications of	
	logic	177
38	Deontic logic immediately concerns O-expressions (direc-	
	tives). It has, however, derivative consequences for the corre-	_
	sponding F-expressions	182
т.	•	185
ın	dex	•