

RESPONSIBILITY, RESTORATION AND FAULT

Bénédict WINIGER



intersentia

Cambridge – Antwerp – Portland

CONTENTS

<i>Preface</i>	vii
Introduction	1
PART I. HISTORICAL ANALYSES	
Chapter 1. Laws of Mesopotamia and Asia Minor	9
1.1. Consequences of Damaging Acts	10
1.1.1. Talion	10
1.1.1.1. Babylonian Laws	10
1.1.1.2. Assyrian Laws (ca 1076 BC).....	12
1.1.1.3. Hittite Laws (1650–1500 BC).....	13
1.1.2. Remedy by Means of Replacement	14
1.1.2.1. Hammurabi	14
1.1.2.2. Hittite Laws	16
1.1.3. Hybrid Forms between Talion and Compensation	17
1.1.4. Death Penalty and Mutilation	18
1.2. Negligence as a Legal Concept?	20
1.2.1. Eshnunna Laws	20
1.2.2. Hammurabi Code	22
1.2.2.1. Negligence	23
1.2.2.2. Degree of Negligence and <i>Dolus</i> ?	24
1.3. Results Regarding the Laws of Mesopotamia and Asia Minor	26
Chapter 2. Greek Approaches	29
2.1. Antiphon's Second Tetralogy	29
2.1.1. Facts of the Case and Argumentation: Unintentional Act	29
2.1.2. Causation.....	30
2.1.3. Mistake and Unlawfulness	31
2.1.4. Fault and Negligence	32

2.1.5.	Hazard	34
2.1.6.	Short Summary	35
2.2.	Plato: <i>Book of Laws IX</i>	36
2.2.1.	Just and Unjust Acts	36
2.2.2.	Remedying the Damage	38
2.2.3.	Intention and the Degree of Misconduct (<i>Culpa?</i>)	38
2.2.4.	Forms of Damage and Criteria for the Degree of Punishment	40
2.2.5.	Unlawfulness?	42
2.2.6.	Forms of Punishment: Death Penalty, Talion and Extradition (Noxal Action)	43
2.2.6.1.	Death Penalty and Talion	44
2.2.6.2.	Noxal Action	45
2.2.7.	Summary	45
2.3.	Aristotle: <i>Nicomachean Ethics</i> (Book V)	46
2.3.1.	A Liability Model	46
2.3.2.	Unlawfulness, Damage, Causation and Assessment of Damage	47
2.3.3.	<i>Casus, Error, Affectus</i> and <i>Dolus</i>	49
2.3.4.	Fault	49
2.3.5.	Talion	50
2.3.6.	Summary	50
2.4.	Results	51
Chapter 3. Roman Approaches		55
3.1.	The Law of the Twelve Tables	55
3.2.	The <i>Lex Aquilia</i>	56
3.2.1.	The Law	56
3.2.2.	Concept of Damage and Damaging Act	58
3.2.3.	Causation	60
3.2.4.	Unlawfulness and Fault	61
3.2.4.1.	Unlawfulness	61
3.2.4.2.	Fault	61
3.2.5.	Assessment of Damage	63
3.3.	Criminal Law Rules and the Noxal Actions	64
3.3.1.	Criminal Law Rules	64
3.3.2.	The Noxal Actions	65

3.4.	General Roman Maxims	67
3.4.1.	<i>Neminem laedere</i> and <i>sum cuique tribuere</i>	67
3.4.2.	<i>Restitutio in integrum</i>	68
3.5.	Results	69
PART II. A CONTEMPORARY THEORY OF RESPONSIBILITY		
Chapter 4. The Structures of Responsibility		75
4.1.	Action and Damage	75
4.2.	Distinction between Responsibility and Liability	76
4.3.	The Chronological Perspective of Responsibility	79
4.4.	Basic Criteria of Responsibility	80
4.4.1.	Concept of Damage and Damaging Act	81
4.4.2.	Causation	82
4.4.3.	Violation of a Rule	83
4.4.4.	Fault	84
Chapter 5. Criteria of Responsibility: Two Theses		85
5.1.	Evaluation of Indemnification: The Restoration Criterion	86
5.1.1.	Thesis I	86
5.1.2.	Definition of Restoration	86
5.1.3.	Determining the Restoration (Thesis I (i))	88
5.1.4.	Restoration and the Time Factor: Duration of Damage	91
5.1.5.	Damage with Psychological Effects on the Victim	92
5.1.6.	Restoration and Money: The Principle of Restitution in Kind	93
5.1.7.	Responsibility for Future Damage (Thesis I (ii))	94
5.1.8.	Responsibility for Future Generations (Thesis I (ii))	95
5.1.9.	The Injuring Party's Justifications (Thesis I (iii))	96
5.2.	Assessment of the Action: The Criterion of Fault	98
5.2.1.	Thesis II	98
5.2.2.	Relationship between Theses I and II	101
5.2.3.	Degrees of Responsibility	101
Chapter 6. Application of the Two Theses		105
6.1.	Thesis I	105
6.1.1.	Past and Future Acts	105

6.1.2.	Responsibility and the Prognosis of Future Damage	106
6.1.3.	Responsibility and the Probability of Damage	107
6.1.4.	Responsibility and Risk	108
6.1.4.1.	The Frequency of Damage	109
6.1.4.2.	The Gravity of the Damage	110
6.1.4.3.	Risk and Value of the Goods Affected	110
6.1.4.4.	Geographical Extent of Damage	110
6.1.4.5.	Does Risk Itself Constitute Damage?	111
6.1.4.6.	Risk Liability and the Legislator's Responsibility	112
6.1.5.	Responsibility and Knowledge	113
6.1.6.	The Number of Victims and Affected Goods	114
6.1.7.	Social Impact of Damage	115
6.1.7.1.	Unavoidable Damage: Social Desirability of the Goal Pursued	116
6.1.7.2.	Responsibility and Limitation of Damage	117
6.1.7.3.	Practical Consequences: Suspension of Damaging Activities	118
6.1.8.	An Objection: The Impecunious Injuring Party	119
6.2.	Thesis II	120
6.2.1.	In General	120
6.2.2.	Relationship between Fault and Unlawfulness in Liability Law	121
6.2.2.1.	Fault	121
6.2.2.2.	Unlawfulness	123
6.2.3.	Infringing Rules and Fault in Connection with Responsibility	124
6.2.3.1.	Infringements of Rules	124
6.2.3.2.	Fault	126
6.2.3.2.1.	Generally Known Consequences of Actions	127
6.2.3.2.2.	Unforeseeable Consequences	128
6.3.	Connection between Responsibility and Liability	129
6.4.	Examples	130
Chapter 7. General Evaluation		135
7.1.	Antique Concepts of Responsibility and Liability	135
7.2.	Elements of a Theory of Responsibility	138
7.2.1.	The Structures of Responsibility	138
7.2.2.	Two Criteria for Evaluating Responsibility	140

7.2.2.1.	The Criterion of Restoration (Thesis I)	140
7.2.2.2.	The Criterion of Fault (Thesis II)	142
7.2.2.3.	Relationship between Thesis I and II	143

Chapter 8. Excursus: Artificial Intelligence

8.1.	Are Ethical and Moral Categories Applicable to Decisions Made by Artificial Intelligence?	145
8.2.	Is the Criterion of Responsibility Generally Applicable to Decisions Made by Artificial Intelligence?	147
8.3.	Is the Model Presented in this Book Applicable to Decisions Made by Artificial Intelligence?	148
8.3.1.	The Criterion of Restoration	148
8.3.2.	The Criterion of Fault	148
8.4.	The Bearer of Responsibility	149
<i>Bibliography</i>		151